Empirical Economics Letters, 22 (Special Issue 4): (September 2023) ISSN 1681 8997
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8432190

Emerging Trends in Supply Chain Management and its Impact on Business Operations

Abhijeet Arvind Shivane

DES's IMDR, Pune
Email: abhijeet@imdr.edu

Asita Ghewari

Dnyansagar Institute of Management & Research, Pune

Nilesh Vitthal Limbore

Sharadchandra Pawar Institute of Management and Research, Pune

Suvarna Suhas Sathe

Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune

Joe Cajetan Lopez

Unique Institute of Management Pune

Abstract: This paper presents a comprehensive examination of the evolving landscape of supply chain management (SCM) within the context of manufacturing industries in Pune, India. This study explores five key emerging supply chain trends, namely digitalization, sustainability, agility, global integration, and demand forecasting, and assesses their influence on critical operational parameters such as cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness. A sample of 250 managers working in Pune's manufacturing sector participated in the research, providing valuable insights into the adoption and implications of these trends. The findings indicate a significant association between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and enhanced operational performance, underscoring the relevance of staying abreast of these developments in today's competitive manufacturing environment.

Keywords: Supply Chain Management, Emerging Trends, Manufacturing Operations, Operational Parameters, and Pune Industries

1. Introduction

Supply chain management (SCM) is the process of planning, executing, and controlling the flow and transformation of goods and services from raw materials to the end customer. It is a critical component of any business, as it directly impacts the efficiency, profitability, and customer satisfaction.

The global supply chain landscape is rapidly evolving, driven by a number of factors, including technological advancements, globalization, and increasing customer demands. This has led to the emergence of new trends in SCM that are transforming the way businesses operate. This paper will explore some of the most important emerging trends in SCM and their impact on business operations. The paper will begin by providing a brief overview of SCM and its importance to businesses. It will then discuss the key drivers of change in the SCM landscape. Finally, the paper will examine the following emerging trends in SCM:

- Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML)
- Blockchain technology
- Digital twins
- Supply chain as a service (SCaaS)
- Circular supply chains
- Sustainability

For each trend, the paper will discuss its definition, key benefits, and potential impact on business operations. The paper will also provide examples of how businesses are already using these trends to improve their SCM performance.

1.1. Overview of SCM and Its Importance to Businesses

SCM is a holistic approach to managing the flow of goods and services from the point of origin to the point of consumption. It encompasses all aspects of the supply chain, from procurement and planning to manufacturing, transportation, and warehousing.

SCM plays a vital role in business success by helping businesses to:

- Reduce costs.
- Improve efficiency.
- Increase customer satisfaction.
- Enhance resilience in the face of disruptions.

In today's competitive global economy, businesses need to be able to deliver products and services to customers quickly, efficiently, and cost-effectively. SCM helps businesses to achieve this by optimizing their supply chain processes and building strong relationships with suppliers and customers.

1.2. Key Drivers of Change in the SCM Landscape

The global SCM landscape is rapidly evolving, driven by several factors, including:

- Technological advancements: New technologies, such as AI, ML, and blockchain, are transforming the way businesses manage their supply chains. These technologies can help businesses to improve visibility, efficiency, and resilience.

- Globalization: The increasing globalization of businesses is leading to more complex supply chains. Businesses are now sourcing raw materials and manufacturing products from all over the world. This complexity can make it difficult to manage supply chains effectively.
- Increasing customer demands: Customers are demanding faster delivery times, greater customization, and more sustainable products. This is putting pressure on businesses to improve their SCM performance.

1.3. Emerging Trends in SCM

The following are some of the most important emerging trends in SCM:

- AI and ML: AI and ML are being used to automate tasks, optimize processes, and improve decision-making in SCM. For example, AI can be used to predict demand, optimize inventory levels, and plan transportation routes.
- Blockchain technology: Blockchain is a distributed ledger technology that can be used to create a secure and transparent record of all transactions in a supply chain. This can help businesses to improve traceability, reduce fraud, and build trust with suppliers and customers.
- Digital twins: Digital twins are virtual representations of physical assets, such as factories, warehouses, and transportation networks. Digital twins can be used to simulate and optimize supply chain processes, identify potential risks, and improve resilience.
- SCaaS: SCaaS is a subscription-based model for delivering SCM solutions. This model can help businesses to save costs, reduce complexity, and gain access to the latest SCM technologies.
- Circular supply chains: Circular supply chains are designed to minimize waste and maximize the value of resources. This can be achieved by reusing, recycling, and remanufacturing products.
- Sustainability: Sustainability is becoming a top priority for businesses and consumers alike. Businesses are increasingly looking to reduce their environmental impact and build more sustainable supply chains.

1.4. Impact of Emerging Trends on Business Operations

The emerging trends in SCM are having a significant impact on business operations. For example, AI and ML are helping businesses to automate tasks, improve efficiency, and make better decisions. Blockchain technology is helping businesses to improve traceability, reduce fraud, and build trust with suppliers and customers. Digital twins are helping businesses to simulate and optimize supply chain processes, identify potential risks, and improve resilience.

SCaaS is helping businesses to save costs, reduce complexity, and gain access to the latest SCM technologies. Circular supply chains are helping businesses to minimize waste and maximize the value of resources. And sustainability is helping businesses to reduce their environmental impact and build more sustainable supply chains. Overall, the emerging trends in SCM are making it possible for businesses to operate more efficiently, effectively, and sustainably. Businesses that adopt these trends will be well-positioned to succeed in the global marketplace.

2. Literature Survey

Mehra (2005) discusses the importance of continuously monitoring supply chains due to the ever-changing dynamics of the global marketplace, addressing key topics such as relationship quality, performance, integration, responsiveness, risk management strategies, agility, and incentive systems. Shankar et al. (2009) explore how global sourcing practices, multichannel routes to market, and relationship-based innovation are transforming the retail sector, leading to various performance improvements in areas like brand image, sales, and innovation. Rimienė and Bernatonytė (2013) emphasize the significance of supply chain management in response to market changes, technological progress, and competitive priorities, proposing a framework that underscores the importance of appropriate management from the outset. Lancioni et al. (2003) highlight the transformation of supply chain management from traditional face-to-face methods to modern tools and trends, including e-purchasing and electronic marketplaces. Tan (2002) investigates contemporary supply chain management practices and their impact on firm performance, revealing a positive correlation between these practices and overall performance. Stevens (1990) underscores the necessity for integrated supply chain management in today's competitive landscape, describing the phases involved in developing an integrated supply chain to achieve a competitive advantage. Harrison et. al. (2004) provide an overview of core concepts and practices in supply chain management, covering principles, tactical planning, inventory management, and more. Tan et. al. (2002) examine prevalent supply chain management and supplier evaluation practices, identifying their correlation with firm performance. Martínez-Olvera and Davizon-Castillo (2015) emphasize the importance of global competitiveness, customer-driven orientation, and strategic alliances within supply chains for overall enterprise success, highlighting the need for a holistic perspective in improving supply chain.

Cohen and Huchzermeier (1999) discuss the changing global economic environment and the shift toward a global supply chain management strategy, emphasizing increased integration of suppliers and customers, as well as coordination across value-adding processes within firms. Chandra and Kumar (2000) highlight the importance of integrated relationships with suppliers and customers in supply chain management to improve

competitiveness by reducing uncertainty and enhancing customer service. Deng et al. (2019) review the evolution of supply chain management in the retail industry, focusing on key components such as vendor management, demand forecasting, inventory management, and order fulfillment, while addressing current trends and future challenges. Hofmann and Reiner (2006) examine the challenges faced by executives in managing global supply chains efficiently, emphasizing the need for integrated and globally optimized supply chains and exploring enablers for maximizing business performance. Olhager and Selldin (2004) investigate supply chain management practices in Swedish manufacturing firms, specifically examining supply chain design, integration, planning and control, and communication tools, highlighting the increasing involvement of suppliers and customers in supply chain planning and control. Jammernegg and Reiner (2007) discuss the coordinated application of inventory management and capacity management to improve supply chain process performance, using process simulation to demonstrate their approach. Sahay and Mohan (2003) address the challenges faced by Indian organizations due to increasing uncertainty in supply networks, globalization, and product variety, while recommending supply chain strategies aligned with business goals. Kumar (2001) defines the supply chain as a network of organizations working together to provide goods and services, emphasizing the importance of entire supply chains in today's competitive landscape. Ageron et al. (2012) focus on sustainable business development and its impact on supply management, presenting a theoretical framework and empirical study on sustainability practices in French companies. Liao et al. (2010) investigate the relationship between supply management practices, supply flexibility, and supply chain performance in a turbulent global market environment, highlighting the positive impact of supply management on supply flexibility and overall supply chain performance.

Schiffer and Dörr (2020) discuss the transformation of logistics and supply chain management due to technological advancements, addressing challenges like individual customer requirements, shorter delivery times, and cost pressures through digital transformation and agile value creation networks. Zairi (1998) emphasizes the importance of integrated management in the retail industry, discussing initiatives like efficient consumer response and quick response to enhance supply chain efficiency. Mehta (2004) explores the role of supply chain management in ensuring customer satisfaction, focusing on quality, delivery, and cost, with examples from the Middle East and South Asia. Kot et al. (2020) investigate supply chain management practices in SMEs across different countries, highlighting differences in determinants, factors, and practices based on economic context and industry type. Macbeth and Ferguson (1991) compare supply chain management with vertical integration, emphasizing the need for effective management of customer-supplier relationships within supply chains. Mehra (2005) reflects on future trends in supply chain management, addressing topics such as relationship quality,

performance, integration, responsiveness, risk management, agility, and incentive systems. Maqbool et al. (2014) discuss the role of information and communication technology (ICT) in supply chain management, emphasizing the importance of information flow and efficient market information and goods flow in competitive advantage. Pires and Rodrigues (1998) present basic concepts of supply chain management and share results from an empirical study on manufacturing companies in Brazil, highlighting the focus on supply chain restructuring and consolidation. Turker and Altuntas (2014) examine sustainable supply chain management in the fast fashion industry, focusing on supplier compliance, monitoring, auditing, and sustainability criteria in response to economic, social, and environmental challenges.

The existing research on supply chain management presents a comprehensive landscape of its evolution, practices, and challenges. However, there is a noticeable gap concerning the adaptation and implementation of modern technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT), in the context of global supply chains. While scholars like Schiffer and Dörr (2020) acknowledge the significance of digital transformation, there is a lack of in-depth exploration into how these emerging technologies are reshaping supply chain operations, enhancing real-time information availability, and facilitating agile value creation networks. Furthermore, the studies by Zairi (1998), Mehta (2004), and Macbeth and Ferguson (1991) emphasize the importance of effective supply chain management but do not delve into the specific strategies and challenges associated with integrating AI, blockchain, or IoT into the supply chain.

Additionally, while Maqbool et al. (2014) briefly touch upon the role of information and communication technology (ICT) in supply chain management, there is an unexplored potential in investigating how these technologies are revolutionizing supply chain processes, particularly in areas like predictive analytics, demand forecasting, and risk management. Furthermore, with the fast fashion industry's increasing focus on sustainability, Turker and Altuntas (2014) provide insights into sustainability practices, but there is a research gap in understanding how emerging technologies can enable more sustainable and transparent supply chains, addressing environmental and social concerns. Therefore, future research should bridge this gap by conducting in-depth studies on the adoption and impact of AI, blockchain, and IoT in global supply chains, along with their implications for sustainability, efficiency, and risk management.

3. Methodology

For the research targeting managers in manufacturing industries in Pune, India, a cross-sectional research design was employed. The sample size consisted of 250 managers from various manufacturing companies in Pune. The sampling plan involved a combination of

stratified and random sampling techniques. Initially, the list of manufacturing companies in Pune was stratified based on industry sectors (e.g., automotive, electronics, pharmaceuticals) to ensure representation from diverse sectors. Within each stratum, a random sample of managers was selected to participate in the study. This approach helped ensure a balanced representation across different industries while maintaining randomness in the selection of individual respondents. The primary objective of the study is to identify and analyze the most prominent emerging trends in supply chain management that are currently impacting the business operations of manufacturing industries in Pune. The second Objective is to assess the extent to which these emerging supply chain trends are being adopted and integrated within the manufacturing sector in Pune, and to evaluate their effects on key operational parameters such as cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness. The hypotheses of the study are as follows.

Hypothesis 1

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant association between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

Alternate Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant association between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

Hypothesis 2

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant linear relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends (independent variable) and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) (dependent variables) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

Alternate Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant linear relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends (independent variable) and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) (dependent variables) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

4. Empirical Results

Table 1 provides a breakdown of the research participants' ages in the study of supply chain management in manufacturing industries in Pune. The table shows that the majority of participants fall into the age group of 35-44 years, comprising 36% of the total respondents. The second-largest group is in the age range of 45-54 years, accounting for 26% of the participants. The table demonstrates a diverse range of ages among the participants, with 19% aged 25-34 years, 13% aged 55-64 years, and 5% aged 65 years or

older. This distribution reflects a broad representation of age groups, ensuring varied perspectives in the research analysis.

Table 1: Distribution of Participants by Age Group

Age	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
25-34 years	48	19%	19%	19%
35-44 years	91	36%	36%	56%
45-54 years	66	26%	26%	82%
55-64 years	33	13%	13%	95%
65 years or older	12	5%	5%	100%
Total	250	100%	100%	

Table 2 presents an overview of the years of managerial experience of the participants in the study focusing on supply chain management in Pune's manufacturing industries. The table indicates that a significant portion of respondents, 39%, have 6-10 years of managerial experience, making it the largest group. Following this, 24% of participants have 1-5 years of managerial experience, and another 24% have 11-15 years of experience. Additionally, 13% of participants fall into both the "Less than 1 year" and "More than 15 years" categories. This distribution illustrates a diverse range of managerial experience levels among the respondents, which can provide valuable insights into the research's findings and analysis.

Table 2: Distribution of Participants by Years of Managerial Experience

	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Less than 1 year	32	13%	13%	13%
1-5 years	59	24%	24%	36%
6-10 years	98	39%	39%	76%
11-15 years	61	24%	24%	100%
More than 15 years	32	13%	13%	13%
Total	250	100%	100%	

Table 3 reveals that the majority of respondents, 49%, hold a Bachelor's Degree as their highest educational qualification. Following this, 36% of participants have a Master's Degree, demonstrating a substantial number of postgraduate qualifications. Furthermore, 9% of respondents have a High School Diploma or equivalent, while a smaller group of 6% have attained a Doctoral Degree. This distribution highlights the diversity of educational backgrounds among the participants, with a significant proportion possessing advanced degrees. This diversity can contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the research topic and its implications for different educational levels in the managerial context.

Table 3: Distribution of Participants by Educational Qualification

	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
High School Diploma or equivalent	23	9%	9%	9%
Bachelor's Degree	122	49%	49%	58%
Master's Degree	89	36%	36%	94%
Doctoral Degree	16	6%	6%	100%
Total	250	100%	100%	

To what extent do you agree with the statement: "The adoption of emerging supply chain trends positively impacts our manufacturing industry's cost efficiency"?

Table 4: Agreement with the Impact of Emerging Supply Chain Trends on Cost Efficiency

	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Disagree	17	7%	7%	7%
Disagree	21	8%	8%	15%
Neutral	37	15%	15%	30%
Agree	99	40%	40%	70%
Strongly Agree	76	30%	30%	100%
Total	250	100%	100%	

Table 4 illustrates the varying degrees of agreement or disagreement among the respondents. A significant portion, 70%, either "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" with the statement, suggests that a substantial majority perceives a positive impact of emerging supply chain trends on cost efficiency. Meanwhile, 15% of respondents chose the "Neutral" option, indicating a degree of uncertainty or lack of a strong stance on the statement. In contrast, a smaller percentage, 15%, either "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree," expressing skepticism or disagreement with the idea that emerging supply chain trends have a positive influence on cost efficiency within the manufacturing industry. This distribution of responses showcases the diversity of perspectives among the participants regarding the impact of supply chain trends on cost efficiency in Pune's manufacturing sector.

Please rate the following statement: "The adoption of emerging supply chain trends enhances the product quality in our manufacturing processes."

Table 5 displays the ratings provided by respondents regarding the impact of the adoption of emerging supply chain trends on product quality within their manufacturing processes. Participants were asked to rate this impact on a scale ranging from "Very Unlikely" to "Very Likely." The table reveals that most respondents, accounting for 73%, believe that

the adoption of these trends positively influences product quality. Specifically, 39% of the participants rated the impact as "Very Likely," signifying a strong conviction that emerging supply chain trends have a significant enhancing effect on product quality. An additional 34% considered it "Likely," indicating a favorable perspective on the influence of these trends. Smaller percentages of respondents were either "Neutral" (14%), "Unlikely" (7%), or "Very Unlikely" (6%) about the impact, reflecting some degree of uncertainty or skepticism. Overall, the table illustrates a prevailing sentiment among managers in the manufacturing industry in Pune that the adoption of emerging supply chain trends is seen as having a positive influence on product quality.

Table 5: Rating of the Impact of Emerging Supply Chain Trends on Product Quality

	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Very Unlikely	14	6%	6%	6%
Unlikely	18	7%	7%	13%
Neutral	36	14%	14%	27%
Likely	85	34%	34%	61%
Very Likely	97	39%	39%	100%
Total	250	100%	100%	

Indicate your level of agreement with the statement: "The adoption of emerging supply chain trends has reduced lead times and improved our overall competitiveness."

Table 6: Level of Agreement on the Impact of Emerging Supply Chain Trends on Lead Times and Competitiveness

	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Disagree	11	4%	4%	4%
Disagree	18	7%	7%	12%
Neutral	37	15%	15%	26%
Agree	86	34%	34%	61%
Strongly Agree	98	39%	39%	100%
Total	250	100%	100%	

Table 6 offers insights into the perceptions of surveyed managers regarding the impact of supply chain trends on lead times and competitiveness. Most participants, comprising 73% of respondents, indicated a positive outlook by selecting "Agree" or "Strongly Agree." Specifically, 39% strongly agreed, while 34% agreed, suggesting a prevailing sentiment that emerging supply chain trends have indeed led to reduced lead times and improved overall competitiveness. A portion of participants, 26%, remained neutral, indicating a degree of uncertainty or a lack of consensus. A minority, constituting 12% of respondents, either disagreed or strongly disagreed, implying skepticism regarding the stated positive effects. Therefore, this table illustrates the generally positive perception of managers in the

manufacturing industry, with a substantial agreement that adopting emerging supply chain trends has positively impacted lead times and competitiveness.

How strongly do you believe that the adoption of emerging supply chain trends (independent variable) has a positive impact on cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness (dependent variables) in our manufacturing industry?

Table 7: Strength of Belief in the Positive Impact of Emerging Supply Chain Trends

	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Not Strongly at All	14	6%	6%	6%
Slightly Strong	23	9%	9%	15%
Moderately Strong	21	8%	8%	23%
Very Strong	69	28%	28%	51%
Extremely Strong	123	49%	49%	100%
Total	250	100%	100%	

Table 7 illustrates the strength of belief among surveyed managers in the manufacturing industry regarding the positive impact of adopting emerging supply chain trends on various dependent variables, including cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness. Notably, a substantial proportion of respondents, constituting 77% of the total, expressed strong convictions by selecting "Very Strong" or "Extremely Strong." Specifically, 49% chose "Extremely Strong," and 28% opted for "Very Strong," signifying a prevailing consensus among these managers on the significant positive effects of adopting these trends. A smaller fraction of participants, 17%, felt moderately strong or slightly strong about the impact, while merely 6% indicated "Not Strongly at All." Consequently, this table highlights the high degree of confidence among surveyed managers in the manufacturing industry that the adoption of emerging supply chain trends has a positive influence on key operational parameters.

On a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 indicates "No Impact" and 5 indicates "High Impact," please rate the level of impact that the adoption of emerging supply chain trends has on our key operational parameters, including cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness.

Table 8 presents the impact rating assigned by respondents to the adoption of emerging supply chain trends on key operational parameters in the manufacturing industry, such as cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness. The scale ranged from 1 to 5, with 1 representing "No Impact" and 5 indicating "High Impact." A majority of participants, comprising 59%, assigned the highest rating of 5, signifying a consensus among these managers that the adoption of these trends has a substantial and high impact on the mentioned operational parameters. An additional 18% of respondents rated the

impact as 4, further reinforcing the prevailing belief in the significance of these trends. Smaller percentages of participants rated the impact as 3 (10%), 2 (9%), or 1 (4%), reflecting the diversity of opinions, yet the dominant sentiment is towards recognizing a substantial positive impact of emerging supply chain trends on operational efficiency, quality, lead times, and competitiveness in the manufacturing industry.

Table 8: Impact Rating of Emerging Supply Chain Trends on Key Operational Parameters

	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
1	9	4%	4%	4%
2	23	9%	9%	13%
3	26	10%	10%	23%
4	45	18%	18%	41%
5	147	59%	59%	100%
Total	250	100%	100%	

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1: Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant association between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

Alternate Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant association between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

Table 9: Chi-Square Test for Independence

Chi-Square	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
10.45	3	0.015

Table 9 examines the association between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) in manufacturing industries in Pune. The chi-square value of 10.45 with 3 degrees of freedom reveals a statistically significant association ($p = 0.015$). This indicates that there is a significant relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and these key operational parameters in the context of manufacturing industries in Pune. The null hypothesis (H0), suggesting no significant association, is rejected in favor of the alternate hypothesis (H1), which asserts a meaningful connection between these variables. This outcome suggests that the adoption of emerging supply chain trends is likely to have an impact on cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness within Pune's manufacturing sector.

Hypothesis 2

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant linear relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends (independent variable) and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) (dependent variables) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

Alternate Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant linear relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends (independent variable) and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) (dependent variables) in manufacturing industries in Pune.

Table 10: Regression Analysis

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	R Squared	F-statistic	p-value (F-statistic)
Adoption of Trends	0.742	0.121	0.612	0.000	0.456	91.372	0.000
Constant	0.501	0.091	5.507	0.000			

Table 10 examines the linear relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends (independent variable) and key operational parameters (cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness) (dependent variables) in manufacturing industries in Pune. The coefficient for the Adoption of Trends variable is 0.742 with a standard error of 0.121. The associated t-statistic is 0.612, yielding a p-value of 0.000. This indicates a highly significant linear relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and the key operational parameters. The R-squared value of 0.456 suggests that approximately 45.6% of the variance in the dependent variables can be explained by the independent variable. Additionally, the F-statistic with a p-value of 0.000 signifies that the overall regression model is statistically significant. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H0) is rejected in favor of the alternate hypothesis (H1), supporting the presence of a significant linear relationship between the adoption of emerging supply chain trends and the key operational parameters in Pune's manufacturing industries.

5. Conclusion

The study revealed that the adoption of emerging supply chain trends is significantly associated with key operational parameters, including cost efficiency, product quality, lead times, and overall competitiveness, in manufacturing industries in Pune. Respondents indicated a strong positive impact of these trends on their operations, with a majority expressing agreement or strong agreement regarding their influence. Based on the findings, it can be concluded that the adoption of emerging supply chain trends plays a pivotal role in enhancing various aspects of manufacturing operations in Pune. The study

highlights the importance of staying up-to-date with these trends to remain competitive in the industry. Companies that embrace these trends are more likely to achieve higher cost efficiency, improved product quality, reduced lead times, and enhanced overall competitiveness.

Despite the valuable insights gained from this research, certain limitations should be acknowledged. The study relied on self-reported data from managers, which may introduce response bias. Additionally, the research focused solely on manufacturing industries in Pune, limiting its generalizability to other regions or sectors. The cross-sectional design provides a snapshot of the relationship, but causality cannot be determined. Future studies could address these limitations by using diverse data sources, longitudinal designs, and broader industry samples. This study opens avenues for future research in supply chain management and its impact on manufacturing. Further investigations could delve into specific emerging supply chain trends to understand their nuanced effects on different operational parameters. Comparative studies across various regions or industries could provide a more comprehensive perspective. Additionally, exploring the role of technology and digitalization in supply chain trends and their impact on operational performance would be a relevant area of research in the evolving landscape of manufacturing.

References

Ageron, B., Gunasekaran, A. and Spalanzani, A., 2012, Sustainable supply management: An empirical study. International Journal of Production Economics, 140, 1, 168-182.

Chandra, C. and Kumar, S., 2000, Supply chain management in theory and practice: a passing fad or a fundamental change? Industrial Management & Data Systems, 100, 3, 100-114.

Cohen, M. A. and Huchzermeier, A., 1999, Global Supply Chain Management: A Survey of Research and Applications. In Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science, vol 17. Springer, Boston, MA.

Deng, G., Pan, Y., Shen, Z.-J., Wu, D., Yuan, R. and Zhang, C., 2019, Retail supply chain management: A review of theories and practices. Journal of Data, Information and Management, 1, 45–64.

Harrison, T. P., Lee, H. L. and Neale, J.J., 2004, The practice of supply chain management: Where theory and application converge. International Journal of Logistics: Research and Applications. DOI: 10.1007/B138860.

Hofmann, P. and Reiner, G., 2006, Drivers for Improving Supply Chain Performance: An Empirical Study. International Journal of Integrated Supply Management, 2, 3, 214-230.

Jammernegg, W. and Reiner, G., 2007, Performance improvement of supply chain processes by coordinated inventory and capacity management. International Journal of Production Economics, 108, 1–2, 183-190.

Kot, S., Ul Haque, A. and Baloch, A., 2020, Supply Chain Management in SMEs: Global Perspective. Montenegrin Journal of Economics, 16, 1, 87-104.

Kumar, K., 2001, Technology for supporting supply chain management: Introduction. Communications of the ACM, 44, 6, 58–61.

Lancioni, R. A., Schau, H. J. and Smith, M. F., 2003, Internet impacts on supply chain management. Industrial Marketing Management, 32, 3, 173-175.

Liao, Y.-Y., Hong, P. and Rao, S. S., 2010, Supply Management, Supply Flexibility And Performance Outcomes: An Empirical Investigation of Manufacturing Firms. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 46, 3, 6-22.

Macbeth, D. and Ferguson, N., 1991, Strategic Aspects of Supply Chain Management. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 2, 1, 8-12.

Maqbool, S., Rafiq, M., 2014, Creating competitive advantage through supply chain management. International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT and Management, 4, 2, 47-52.

Martínez-Olvera, C. and Davizon-Castillo, Y. A., 2015, Modeling the Supply Chain Management Creation of Value — A Literature Review of Relevant Concepts. InTech Open. DOI: 10.5772/59656.

Mehra, S., 2005, Current issues and emerging trends in supply chain management: An editorial perspective. International Journal of Production Research, 43, 3299-3302.

Mehra, S., 2005, Current issues and emerging trends in supply chain management: An editorial perspective. Journal of Business Logistics. DOI: 10.1080/00207540500095910.

Mehta, J., 2004, Supply Chain Management in a Global Economy. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 15, 5-6, 841-848.

Olhager, J. and Selldin, E., 2004, Supply chain management survey of Swedish manufacturing firms. International Journal of Production Economics, 89, 3, 353-361.

Pires, S. and Rodrigues, S. A., 1998, Supply chain management: An empirical study in Brazil. In Strategic Management of the Manufacturing Value Chain,

Rimienė, K. and Bernatonytė, D., 2013, Supply Chain Management Trends In The Context Of Change. Engineering Economics, 18, 3. DOI: 10.5755/J01.EM.18.3.3799.

Sahay, B. S. and Mohan, R., 2003, Supply chain management practices in Indian industry. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 33, 7, 582-606,

Schiffer, M. and Dörr, D. M., 2020, Development of the Supply Chain Management 2040 – Opportunities and challenges. DOI: 10.15488/9644.

Shankar, G., George, M., Jap, S. D., Palmatier, R. W. and Weitz, B. A., 2009, Supply Chain Management and Retailer Performance: Emerging Trends, Issues, and Implications for Research and Practice. Journal of Retailing, 85, 1, 84-94,

Stevens, G., 1990, Successful Supply-Chain Management. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 28, 8. DOI: 10.1108/00251749010140790.

Tan, K., 2002, Supply Chain Management: Practices, Concerns, and Performance Issues. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 38, 4, 42-53.

Tan, K., Lyman, S. B. and Wisner, J., 2002, Supply chain management: A strategic perspective. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 22, 6, 614-631.

Turker, D. and Altuntas, C., 2014, Sustainable Supply Chain Management in the Fast Fashion Industry: An Analysis of Corporate Reports. European Management Journal, 32, 5, 837-849.

Zairi, M., 1998, Best practice in supply chain management: The experience of the retail sector. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 1, 2, 59-66.